

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s):	L.A. DICKENS et al.	Examiner	Ernest Unelus
Serial No.	10/812,326	Group Art Unit	2187
Filed	March 29, 2004	Docket No.	TUC920030125US1
TITLE	METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A QUEUE TO TEST A DEVICE		

---

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ernest Unelus of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 7, 2007.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This amendment is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated December 7, 2006 ("Final Office Action") in which the Examiner rejected all the claims as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) or obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art and a phone interview held January 24th. During the phone interview, an amendment was discussed to add the requirements of claim 7 to the independent claims. During a phone interview and in the Examiner Interview Summary submitted February 1, 2007, the Examiners said they would enter this amendment which would overcome the cited art, and that they would update their search. Applicants amend the independent claims 1, 12, and 23 as discussed during the phone interview and cancel claims 7 and 18. Applicants submit that all pending claims 1-6, 8-17, and 19-31 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2. Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8.